arXiv:1210.5158v1 [math-ph] 18 Oct 2012

CONFINEMENT-DECONFINEMENT TRANSITIONS FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL DIRAC PARTICLES

JOSEF MEHRINGER AND EDGARDO STOCKMEYER

Abstract. We consider a two-dimensional massless Dirac operator coupled to a magnetic field B and an electric potential V growing at infinity. We find a characterization of the spectrum of the resulting operator H in terms of the relation between B and V at infinity. In particular, we give a sharp condition for the discreteness of the spectrum of H beyond which we find dense pure point spectrum.

1. Introduction

Graphene, a two dimensional lattice of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb structure, has attracted great attention in the last few years due to its unusual properties [1, 13]. The dynamics of its low-energy excitations (the charge carriers) can be described by a two-dimensional massless Dirac operator D_0 [23, 6], where the speed of light c is replaced by the Fermi velocity, $v_F \sim 10^{-2}c$. A remarkable property of these Dirac particles is their lack of localization in the presence of electric potential walls (i.e., potentials V with $V(\mathbf{x}) \to \infty$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$). Indeed, if we assume that V is rotationally symmetric and of 'regular growth' the spectrum of the operator $D_0 + V$ equals the whole real line and is absolutely continuous [21, 15, 4, 17]. It is also known, at least in three dimensions, that a much larger class of potentials growing at infinity do not produce eigenvalues [22, 9].

One way to localize Dirac particles (in the sense that the Hamiltonian has non-trivial discrete spectrum) is through inhomogeneous magnetic fields when they are asymptotically constant [3, 11] as well as when they grow to infinity. Consider, for instance, a magnetic field $B = \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{A}$ with $B(\mathbf{x}) \to \infty$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$ and denote by $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ the corresponding Dirac operator coupled to B. It is known that the spectrum of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ is discrete away from zero and zero is an isolated eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity (see Section 3). It is easy to see that eigenfunctions with fixed energies away from zero are uniformly localized (see e.g. [20, eq. (7.63)]).

In this article we consider two-dimensional massless Dirac operators coupled to both an electric potential V and a magnetic field B. We study the combination of the two effects described above: The deconfinement effect associated to V and the confinement one associated to B.

Before presenting our main results let us first discuss this problem assuming that V and B are sufficiently regular positive rotationally symmetric functions. In this case, the Dirac operator admits an angular momentum decomposition $D_{\mathbf{A}} + V =$

Date: October 19, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 81Q10; Secondary 46N50, 81Q37.

Key words and phrases. Electromagnetic Dirac operator, graphene, dense pure point spectrum.

$\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} h_j$ and its spectrum, $\sigma(D_{\mathbf{A}}+V)$, satisfies

$$\sigma(D_{\mathbf{A}}+V)=\overline{\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma(h_j)}.$$

Let $A(r)=\frac{1}{r}\int_0^r B(s)sds$ be the modulus of the magnetic vector potential in the rotational gauge. It is easy to show, on the one hand, that if $A(r)\to\infty$ as $r\to\infty$ and

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty} V(|\mathbf{x}|)/A(|\mathbf{x}|)<1, \tag{1}$$

the spectrum of h_j is discrete, for each $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ (see Proposition 1 in the appendix for the precise statement). On the other hand, as opposed to the non relativistic case, it is known [18, Proposition 5.1] that if $V(r)\to\infty$ as $r\to\infty$ and

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty} V(|\mathbf{x}|)/A(|\mathbf{x}|)>1, \tag{2}$$

the spectrum of each h_j equals the whole real line and is purely absolutely continuous. This phenomenon was recently discussed from the physical point of view in [7]. In that article a device was proposed to control the localization properties of particles in graphene by manipulating the electro-magnetic field at infinity, i.e., far away from the sample.

Clearly, if condition (2) is satisfied the spectrum of the full operator $H:=D_{\mathbf{A}}+V$ is also absolutely continuous and equals $\mathbb{R}$. Conversely, one has pure point spectrum if condition (1) holds. It is, however, unclear whether the spectral lines of H accumulate. Assume that $V(\mathbf{x}),B(\mathbf{x})\to\infty$ as $|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty$. One may expect that when the quotient $|V(|\mathbf{x}|)/A(|\mathbf{x}|)|$ is sufficiently small, for large $|\mathbf{x}|$, the main effect of the electric potential is to remove the zero modes of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ yielding purely discrete spectrum for H. However, as this quotient grows the eigenvalues of the h_j might accumulate creating points in the essential spectrum of H. The aim of our work is to shed some light on the spectrum of H in terms of the relation between B and V at infinity. We emphasize that most of our results do not assume rotational symmetry. In fact, besides some regularity conditions on B and V we only require that the potential V grows subexponentially fast.

Let us describe our results disregarding technical assumptions (for the precise statements see Section 2). We show (see Theorem 1) that the spectrum of H is discrete if

$$\limsup_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty}\frac{V^2(\mathbf{x})}{2|B(\mathbf{x})|}<1 \tag{3}$$

and moreover that this condition is sharp in the following sense: If the quotient in (3) converges to 1 along a sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty}|\mathbf{x}_n|=\infty$ the essential spectrum of H, $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H)$, is not empty. In fact, we prove (see Theorem 3) that zero belongs to the essential spectrum of H if, for some natural number k, the quotient $V^2(\mathbf{x}_n)/|2B(\mathbf{x}_n)|$ converges to k sufficiently fast, as $n\to\infty$. In addition, we find (see Corollary 1) that the essential spectrum of H covers the whole real line if there is a continuous path $\gamma:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\gamma(t)|\to\infty$ as $t\to\infty$ such that $V^2(\gamma(t))/|2B(\gamma(t))|$ converges to infinity with moderate speed (see Remark 5), as $t\to\infty$.

In order to get a better picture of our results consider the example when $V(\mathbf{x})=V_0|\mathbf{x}|^t$ and $B(\mathbf{x})=B_0|\mathbf{x}|^s$ for some constants $V_0,B_0,t>0$ and $s\geq 0$. In this case

A, in the rotational gauge, satisfies

$$|\mathbf{A}(|\mathbf{x}|)| = B_0|\mathbf{x}|^{s+1}/(s+2).$$

Thus, according to Proposition 1 and [18, Proposition 5.1] (see also (1) and (2)) we have that

(a) $\sigma(H)$ is pure point if either $t < s+1$, or $t = s+1$ and $V_0 < B_0/(s+2)$.
(b) $\sigma(H) = \mathbb{R}$ is purely absolutely continuous if either $t > s+1$, or $t = s+1$ and $V_0 > B_0/(s+2)$.

From Theorems 1 and 3 and Corollary 1 we conclude that

(c) $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H) = \emptyset$ if either $t < s/2$, or $t = s/2$ and $V_0^2 < 2B_0$.
(d) $0 \in \sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H)$ if $t = s/2$ and $V_0^2 = 2kB_0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(e) $\sigma(H) = \mathbb{R}$ is pure point if $t \in (s/2, (s+1)/2)$.

Hence, if we fix B and increase the strength of V (at infinity), we observe a transition from purely discrete (c) to purely absolutely continuous spectrum (b) passing through dense pure point spectrum (e). In other words, we observe a transition between a strongly confined system, in the sense that the energy required to 'bring a particle to infinity' is not finite, to a completely deconfined system. Between these two regimes there is another one (e) belonging to a (presumably) weaker form of confinement.

The organization of this article is as follows: In the next section we state our main results precisely. We recall some basic facts about magnetic Dirac operators in Section 3. In Section 4 we prove some useful commutator estimates used in the proof of Theorem 1 which is given in Section 5. Theorem 2 is proven in Section 6 and the proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 are given in Section 7. The main text is followed by an appendix containing some auxiliary results.

Acknowledgments. This work has been supported by SFB TR12 of the DFG.

2. Main results

Assume that V and B are continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$. We define the two-dimensional massless Dirac operator coupled to a magnetic field B on $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ a priori as

$$D_{\mathbf{A}}\varphi := \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \big(-\mathrm{i}\nabla - \mathbf{A}\big)\varphi, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies $B = \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{A} := \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ with

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly we define

$$H\varphi := (D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)\varphi, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2). \tag{5}$$

In view of [2] $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ and H are essentially self-adjoint. We denote the self-adjoint extensions of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ and H by the same symbols and their domains by $\mathcal{D}(D_{\mathbf{A}})$ and $\mathcal{D}(H)$ respectively.

Theorem 1. *Let* $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, *and* $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *such that* $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Assume that*

$$|V(\mathbf{x})| \to \infty \quad \textit{as} \quad |\mathbf{x}| \to \infty, \tag{6}$$

$$\left|\frac{\nabla V(\mathbf{x})}{V(\mathbf{x})}\right| \to 0 \quad \textit{as} \quad |\mathbf{x}| \to \infty, \tag{7}$$

$$\limsup_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty} \frac{V^2(\mathbf{x})}{2|B(\mathbf{x})|} < 1. \tag{8}$$

Then $(H + \mathrm{i}\,)^{-1}$ *is compact in* $\mathcal{H}$, *i.e.,* $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H) = \emptyset$.

Remark 1. *A similar result was obtained in* [19]. *However, there the statement was only proved when the limit superior in* (8) *is strictly smaller than* $1/4$ *instead of* 1. *Our proof is quite different from the one given in* [19]. *We split the analysis on the spaces* $\ker D_{\mathbf{A}}$ *and* $\ker D_{\mathbf{A}}^{\perp}$ *and estimate the cross terms with the commutator bounds derived in Section 4.*

The next two theorems state that the constant 1 in (8) above is in fact sharp.

Theorem 2. *Let* $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $B \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, *with* $|B| > B_0$ *for some* $B_0 > 0$ *and* $\mathbf{A} \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *such that* $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Assume that*

$$|V(\mathbf{x})| \to \infty \quad \textit{as} \quad |\mathbf{x}| \to \infty, \tag{9}$$

$$\|\nabla V/V\|_\infty < \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \|\Delta B/B\|_\infty < \infty, \tag{10}$$

$$\|(V^2 - 2|B|)/V\|_\infty < \infty. \tag{11}$$

Then $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(H) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 2. *Note that conditions* (9) *and* (11) *imply that*

$$V^2(\mathbf{x})/|2B(\mathbf{x})| \to 1 \quad \textit{as} \quad |\mathbf{x}| \to \infty.$$

Remark 3. *The statement of Theorem 2 can also be obtained assuming that* $\liminf_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty} |\mathbf{x}|^2 \big(B(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta B(\mathbf{x})/B(\mathbf{x})\big) > 0$ *instead of* (9) *and only that* $\|\nabla V/V\|_\infty < \infty$ *instead of* (10).

In order to state the next two results we use the following definition: We say that a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ varies with rate $s \in \{0, 1\}$ at infinity if there are constants $R > 1$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = o(|\mathbf{x}|^s)$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$ the function f satisfies the bound

$$\left|\frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha(\mathbf{x}))}{f(\mathbf{x})}\right| \leq C, \quad \text{for all} \quad |\mathbf{x}| > R. \tag{12}$$

Clearly if f varies with rate 1 it also varies with rate 0 at infinity.

Theorem 3. *Let* $B, V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ *such that* $|\nabla V|, |\nabla B|$ *vary with rate* 0 *at infinity. Let* $\mathbf{A} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *such that* $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Assume that there is a sequence* $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *with* $|\mathbf{x}_n| \to \infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$ *and constants* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

such that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$|V(\mathbf{x}_n)| \to \infty, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\nabla B(\mathbf{x}_n)}{|B(\mathbf{x}_n)|^{1-\varepsilon}},\ \frac{\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)}{|V(\mathbf{x}_n)|^{1-\varepsilon}} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{V^2(\mathbf{x}_n) - 2k|B(\mathbf{x}_n)|}{V(\mathbf{x}_n)} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{15}$$

Then $0 \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$.

Remark 4. *Due to* (13) *and* (15) *we see that $|B(\mathbf{x}_n)| \to \infty$ as well as*

$$\left|V^2(\mathbf{x}_n)/2B(\mathbf{x}_n)\right| \to k \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Note also that, under somewhat different assumptions, Theorem 3 (with $k = 1$) improves the statement of Theorem 2.

Theorem 4. *Let $B, V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $|\nabla V|$ and $|\nabla B|$ vary with rate 0 at infinity. Let $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Assume that there is a sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $|\mathbf{x}_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and constants $\varepsilon, c, \alpha, \kappa, B_0 > 0$ such that, as $n \to \infty$,*

$$\frac{V^2(\mathbf{x}_n) - 2n|B(\mathbf{x}_n)|}{V(\mathbf{x}_n)} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\nabla B(\mathbf{x}_n)}{B(\mathbf{x}_n)} \left(\frac{V^2(\mathbf{x}_n)}{2|B(\mathbf{x}_n)|}\right)^{1+\varepsilon},\ \frac{\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)}{V(\mathbf{x}_n)} \left(\frac{V^2(\mathbf{x}_n)}{2|B(\mathbf{x}_n)|}\right)^{1+\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{17}$$

and furthermore, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)| \geq c, \tag{18}$$

$$B_0 \leq |B(\mathbf{x}_n)| \leq \alpha \left(\frac{V^2(\mathbf{x}_n)}{2|B(\mathbf{x}_n)|}\right)^{\kappa}. \tag{19}$$

Then $0 \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$. The same statement holds true if we assume that

$$|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)| \geq c|\mathbf{x}_n|^{-1} \tag{20}$$

instead of (18) *and that $|\nabla V|$ and $|\nabla B|$ vary with rate 1 at infinity.*

Remark 5. *Due to* (16) *we have that $|V(\mathbf{x}_n)| \to \infty$ and moreover*

$$V^2(\mathbf{x}_n)/|2nB(\mathbf{x}_n)| \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{21}$$

Observe that condition (17) *gives an upper bound on the growth of $V^2(\mathbf{x}_n)/|2B(\mathbf{x}_n)|$. In addition, note that $B(\mathbf{x}_n)$ does not need to grow at infinity. In particular, an homogeneous magnetic field is allowed.*

Remark 6. *It is easy to see that the regularity conditions on V and B in theorems 3 and 4 can be weakened to hold only outside some compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Inside K it is sufficient that these functions are bounded. The same holds true for Theorem 1 (compare with Lemma 7).*

The theorem above can also be used to find other points in the essential spectrum of H. To this end is suffices to find a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the conditions of the theorem for $V - E$ instead of V. As an example we get the following result.

Corollary 1. *Let $B, V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $|\nabla V|$ and $|\nabla B|$ vary with rate 0 at infinity. Let $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Assume that there is a continuous path $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\gamma(t)| \to \infty$, as $t \to \infty$, such that*

$$\frac{V^2(\gamma(t))}{2B(\gamma(t))} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty. \tag{22}$$

Moreover, assume that the conditions (17), (18), and (19) are satisfied for any sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the range of γ. Then $\sigma(H) = \mathbb{R}$.

The same statement holds true if $|\nabla V|$ and $|\nabla B|$ vary with rate 1 at infinity and (19) is replaced by (20).

Proof. Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$. Due to (22) and the continuity of V^2/B along γ we find a constant $N > 0$ and a sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, on the range of γ with $|\mathbf{x}_n| \to \infty$, such that

$$(V - E)^2(\mathbf{x}_n) = 2nB(\mathbf{x}_n), \quad \text{for all} \quad n > N. \tag{23}$$

Since $|V(\mathbf{x}_n)| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ it is clear that the conditions (17), (18), and (19) are also satisfied for $V(\mathbf{x}_n) - E$ instead of $V(\mathbf{x}_n)$. This implies the claim. □

Remark 7. *As we remark with an example in the previous section one can combine Corollary 1 and Proposition 1 from the appendix for functions V and B that are rotationally symmetric. In this case one obtains that $\sigma(H) = \mathbb{R}$ is pure point, i.e., H has dense pure point spectrum. Note that the same type of spectral phenomenon occurs for $\sigma(D_{\mathbf{A}})$ when B is rotationally symmetric and decays at infinity but $B(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$ [12] (see also [20, pp. 208]).*

3. Supersymmetry and zero modes

In this section we recall some basic facts about magnetic Dirac operator which are going to be useful in our proofs. As we mentioned in the Section 2 the operator $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ defined in (4) is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$. We write

$$D_{\mathbf{A}} =: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d^* \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$d := \overline{p_1 - A_1 + \mathrm{i}\,(p_2 - A_2) \restriction_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{C})}}$$

and $p_j = -\mathrm{i}\,\partial_j$, $j = 1, 2$, is the momentum operator in the j-th direction. The operators d, d^* satisfy the commutation relation

$$[d, d^*]\varphi := (dd^* - d^*d)\varphi = 2B\varphi\,, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(d^*d) \cap \mathcal{D}(dd^*). \tag{25}$$

We now investigate further the relation between dd^* and d^*d. We note that the of kernel $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ fulfills $\ker(D_{\mathbf{A}}) = \ker(d) \oplus \ker(d^*)$. Due to the matrix structure of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ we have that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}}) := \frac{D_{\mathbf{A}}}{|D_{\mathbf{A}}|} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s^* \\ s & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on} \quad \ker(D_{\mathbf{A}})^\perp, \tag{26}$$

with the unitary operators

$$s : \ker(d)^\perp \to \ker(d^*)^\perp, \qquad s^* : \ker(d^*)^\perp \to \ker(d)^\perp \tag{27}$$

(see [20, Chapter 5] for a related discussion). Using the operator identity $D_{\mathbf{A}}^2 = \operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}}) D_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})$ we find, for any $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(d^*d) \cap \ker(d)^\perp$ and $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(dd^*) \cap \ker(d^*)^\perp$, that

$$\begin{pmatrix} d^*d & 0 \\ 0 & dd^* \end{pmatrix} \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} s^*dd^*s & 0 \\ 0 & sd^*ds^* \end{pmatrix} \varphi. \tag{28}$$

We now recall some results concerning the structure of the kernel of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$. For a given $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ one finds a weak solution $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ of the equation

$$\Delta \phi = B,$$

see, e.g. [5] where a much larger class of fields B is considered. (Using standard elliptic regularity it is easy to see that if B belongs to some Hölder class the solution $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$). A direct computation yields

$$\ker(d^*d) = \{\omega e^{-\phi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \,|\, \omega \text{ is entire in } x_1 + \mathrm{i}\, x_2\}. \tag{29}$$

Moreover, it is known [16] that whenever

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [B(\mathbf{x})]_+ d\mathbf{x} = \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [B(\mathbf{x})]_- d\mathbf{x} < \infty, \tag{30}$$

zero is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity of d^*d, i.e., $\dim \ker(d^*d) = \infty$.

With these observations we can easily go through the following example that will be useful later on.

Lemma 1. *Let $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $B \geq B_0 > 0$ and $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$. Then 0 is an isolated eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$. In addition,*

$$\ker(D_{\mathbf{A}}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \Omega \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2) \,|\, \Omega \in \ker(d^*d) \right\}. \tag{31}$$

Moreover,

$$(-\sqrt{2B_0}, 0) \cup (0, \sqrt{2B_0}) \subset \varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}}),$$

where $\varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}})$ denotes the resolvent set of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Proof. Due to (25) we have the operator inequality

$$dd^* \geq 2B \geq 2B_0. \tag{32}$$

Hence, $\ker(d^*) = \ker(dd^*) = \{0\}$ and we find

$$\ker(D_{\mathbf{A}}) = \ker(d) \oplus \ker(d^*) = \ker(d^*d) \oplus \{0\}.$$

This yields (31). Moreover, using again (32), the isospectrality

$$\sigma(d^*d) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(dd^*) \setminus \{0\},$$

together with

$$D_{\mathbf{A}}^2 \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} d^*d & 0 \\ 0 & dd^* \end{pmatrix} \varphi, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2),$$

imply that $(0, 2B_0) \subset \varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}}^2)$. Therefore, by the spectral theorem, we find the desired spectral gap. □

Remark 8. *If we assume further that* $B(\mathbf{x}) \to \infty$ *as* $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$ *we have by* [8] *that the spectrum of* $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ *is discrete away from zero. Since* B *fulfills* (30) *this implies that* $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(D_{\mathbf{A}}) = \{0\}$.

4. USEFUL COMMUTATOR ESTIMATES

We denote by P_0 the orthogonal projection onto $\ker(D_{\mathbf{A}})$ and set $P_0^{\perp} := 1 - P_0$. In this section we show some commutator bounds between the electric potential V, P_0, and the sign of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ denoted by $\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})$. We use these bounds in Section 5 to show Theorem 1.

Throughout this section we use the following notation: For $0 < V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\|\nabla V/V\|_\infty < \infty$ we set

$$T := \frac{\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla V}{V}.$$

Note that T formally equals $[D_{\mathbf{A}}, V^{-1}]V$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the symbol for the commutator. We define for $z \in \varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}})$

$$R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) := (D_{\mathbf{A}} - z)^{-1}. \tag{33}$$

Lemma 2. *Let* $0 < V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, *and* $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *with* $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$. *Assume further that* $\|V^{-1}\|_\infty, \|\nabla V/V\|_\infty < \infty$ *and that* $z \in \varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}})$ *is such that* $\|TR_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\| < 1$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \big[R_{\mathbf{A}}(z), V^{-1}\big] &= -V^{-1} R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) T R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) \Theta_r(z) && (34)\\ &= \Theta_l(z) R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) T R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) V^{-1}, && (35) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_r(z) &:= \big(1 + TR_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\big)^{-1},\\ \Theta_l(z) &:= \big(1 - R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)T\big)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\Theta_r(z)\|, \|\Theta_l(z)\| \le \big(1 - \|TR_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\|\big)^{-1}. \tag{36}$$

Proof. For $z \in \varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}})$ we get the following relation on $\mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} \big[R_{\mathbf{A}}(z), V^{-1}\big] &= R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) \big[V^{-1}, D_{\mathbf{A}}\big] R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\\ &= -R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) V^{-1} T R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\\ &= -V^{-1} R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) T R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) - \big[R_{\mathbf{A}}(z), V^{-1}\big] T R_{\mathbf{A}}(z). \end{aligned}$$

From this follows that

$$\big[R_{\mathbf{A}}(z), V^{-1}\big] \big(1 + TR_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\big) = -V^{-1} R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) T R_{\mathbf{A}}(z).$$

Since $\|TR_{\mathbf{A}}(z))\| < 1$ we get that $\pm 1 \in \varrho(TR_{\mathbf{A}}(z))$ by the Neumann series. Therefore, we get the desired expression (34) and the estimate on $\Theta_r(z)$. Equation (35) and the bound for $\Theta_l(z)$ follows similarly. □

As stated in Lemma 7 in the appendix we can reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to potentials $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and magnetic fields $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following conditions: There exist constants $\delta, \eta \in (0,1)$ such that, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$V(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1/\delta, \tag{37}$$

$$|\nabla V(\mathbf{x})| \leq \delta V(\mathbf{x}), \tag{38}$$

$$V^2(\mathbf{x}) \leq 2(1-\eta)B(\mathbf{x}). \tag{39}$$

Due to Lemma 1 we see that under these assumptions 0 is an isolated eigenvalue of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ of infinite multiplicity and that $\sigma(D_{\mathbf{A}}) \setminus \{0\}$ is discrete. Moreover, we find a spectral gap $(-2\beta_0, 0) \cup (0, 2\beta_0) \subset \varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}})$, where

$$\beta_0 := \left(2\delta\sqrt{1-\eta}\,\right)^{-1}. \tag{40}$$

Lemma 3. *Let* $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$, *and* $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *with* $B = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A}$. *Assume further that the conditions* (37)-(39) *are fulfilled for* $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ *and* $\eta \in (0,1)$. *Then, we have*

(a) *The operators* $\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right] V$ *and* $V\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]$ *are well-defined on* $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ *and extend to bounded operators on* $\mathcal{H}$ *with*

$$\left\| V\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]\right\|, \left\|\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right] V\right\| = \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \to 0. \tag{41}$$

The same holds true if we replace $P_0^\perp$ *above by* P_0.

(b) $P_0\mathcal{D}(V), P_0^\perp\mathcal{D}(V) \subset \mathcal{D}(V)$.

(c) *The operator* $V\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]$ *maps* $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ *in* $\mathcal{D}(D_{\mathbf{A}})$. *Moreover, we have*

$$\left\| D_{\mathbf{A}} V\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]\right\| = \mathcal{O}(\delta) \quad \text{as} \quad \delta \to 0. \tag{42}$$

Proof. Part (a): Let $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ with $\|\varphi\| = \|\psi\| = 1$. Using the representation formula for the spectral projection and that $(-2\beta_0, 0) \cup (0, 2\beta_0) \subset \varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}})$ we have

$$P_0 = -\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{|z|=\beta_0} R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) \mathrm{d}z.$$

Using the estimate $\|R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\| \leq 1/\beta_0$, condition (38), and that $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, we get for $|z| = \beta_0$,

$$\|T R_{\mathbf{A}}(z))\| \leq \|T\| \|R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\| \leq \delta \beta_0^{-1} = 2\delta^2 \sqrt{(1-\eta)} \leq 1/2.$$

Thus, applying Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle V\varphi, \left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]\psi\rangle| &= |\langle V\varphi, \left[P_0, V^{-1}\right]\psi\rangle| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=\beta_0} |\langle V\varphi, \left[R_{\mathbf{A}}(z), V^{-1}\right]\psi\rangle| \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=\beta_0} |\langle \varphi, R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) T R_{\mathbf{A}}(z) \Theta_r(z) \psi\rangle| \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=\beta_0} \frac{\|T\| \|R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\|^2}{1 - \|T\| \|R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\|} dz = \mathcal{O}(\delta^2).
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right] V$ follows analogously.

Part (b): From the previous computation we see that $\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]$ maps $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{D}(V)$. The claim is therefore an immediate consequence of the identity

$$P_0\varphi = V^{-1}P_0V\varphi + \left[P_0, V^{-1}\right]\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(V).$$

Part (c): By the spectral theorem we get, for $|z| = \beta_0$,

$$\|D_{\mathbf{A}}R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\| = \sup_{\lambda\in\sigma(D_{\mathbf{A}})}\left|\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - z}\right| \leq 2.$$

Proceeding similarly as in the proof of part (a) we have, for $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ with $\|\varphi\| = \|\psi\| = 1$,

$$|\langle D_{\mathbf{A}}\varphi, V[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}]\psi\rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{|z|=\beta_0} |\langle\varphi, D_{\mathbf{A}}R_{\mathbf{A}}(z)TR_{\mathbf{A}}(z)\Theta_r(z)\psi\rangle|\,\mathrm{d}z \;=\; \mathcal{O}(\delta).$$

□

Lemma 4. *Let* $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$*,* $B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$*, and* $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *with* $B = \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{A}$*. Assume further that the conditions* (37)*-*(39) *are fulfilled for* $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ *and* $\eta \in (0, 1)$*. Then* $\left[\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]$ *maps* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ *in* $\mathcal{D}(V)$ *and*

$$\left\|V\left[\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]\right\| \leq 4\delta^2. \tag{43}$$

Proof. Let P_I denote the spectral projection of $D_{\mathbf{A}}$ on the interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have

$$\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})P_0^\perp = P_{[\beta_0,\infty)} - P_{(-\infty,-\beta_0]}$$

with β_0 as in (40). Using [10, Lemma VI-5.6] we find the representations

$$P_{(-\infty,-\beta_0)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\mathbb{1} - U(-\beta_0)\big),$$
$$P_{(\beta_0,\infty)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\mathbb{1} + U(\beta_0)\big),$$

where, for $\lambda \in \varrho(D_{\mathbf{A}})$,

$$U(\lambda) = \mathrm{s} - \lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{-R}^{R} R_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda + \mathrm{i}\,t)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\pi} =: \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda + \mathrm{i}\,t)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\pi}.$$

This yields the commutator identity

$$\left[\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right] = \tfrac{1}{2}\left[U(-\beta_0), V^{-1}\right] + \tfrac{1}{2}\left[U(\beta_0), V^{-1}\right].$$

Pick $\varphi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ with $\|\varphi\| = \|\psi\| = 1$. Applying Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle V\varphi, \left[U(\beta_0), V^{-1}\right]\psi\rangle| &= \frac{1}{\pi}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle V\varphi, \left[R_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t), V^{-1}\right]\psi\rangle\,\mathrm{d}t\right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\|\varphi\|\left\|V\left[R_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t), V^{-1}\right]\psi\right\|\mathrm{d}t \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\|R_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t)TR_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t)\Theta_r(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t)\psi\|\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\leq \frac{\delta}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\|R_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t)\|^2\,\|\Theta_r(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t)\|\,\mathrm{d}t \\
&\leq \frac{2\delta}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\beta_0^2 + t^2}\,\mathrm{d}t = \frac{2\delta}{\beta_0} \leq 4\delta^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In the estimate above we use that, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\Theta_r(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t)\| \leq \big(1 - \|R_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta_0 + \mathrm{i}\,t)\|\,\|T\|\big)^{-1} \leq \big(1 - \delta\,\|R_{\mathbf{A}}(\beta_0)\|\,\big)^{-1} \leq 2.$$

Similarly, the same inequality holds for $\left[U(-\beta_0), V^{-1}\right]$. Hence, we find that

$$|\langle V\varphi, \left[P_0^\perp \mathrm{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}}) P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]\psi\rangle| \leq \ \delta^2,$$

From this follows the proof of the lemma. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1

We note that the assumptions in Theorem 1 imply either that $V(\mathbf{x}) \to \infty$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$ or $V(\mathbf{x}) \to -\infty$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$ by using the continuity of V. We may assume without lost of generality that V is positive at infinity. Similarly, it suffices to consider the case $B(\mathbf{x}) \to \infty$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \to \infty$ since otherwise we just have to change the roles of d and d^* in the proof.

In order to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to find a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|(D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)\varphi\| \geq c\|V\varphi\|, \quad \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2), \tag{44}$$

holds; see, e.g. [19]. Moreover, according to Lemma 7 we may assume that V and B fulfill the conditions (37)-(39), where $\eta \in (0,1)$ is some fix constant and $\delta \in (0,1)$ can be chosen arbitrarily small.

Since $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(D_{\mathbf{A}}) = \{0\}$ it is convenient to show (44) by splitting φ as the sum of $P_0\varphi$ and $P_0^\perp\varphi$. Using the bounds derived in Section 4 we can then estimate the cross terms.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$. We compute, using Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)\varphi\|^2 &= \left\|(D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)(P_0 + P_0^\perp)\varphi\right\|^2 \\
&= \left\|\left(VP_0 + (D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)P_0^\perp\right)\varphi\right\|^2 \\
&= \left\|(D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)P_0^\perp\varphi\right\|^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle (D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)P_0^\perp\varphi, VP_0\varphi\rangle + \|VP_0\varphi\|^2 \\
&= \|(D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)P_0^\perp\varphi\|^2 - \delta\left\|VP_0^\perp\varphi\right\|^2 \\
&\qquad + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle VP_0\varphi, D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^\perp\varphi\rangle + \|V\varphi\|^2 - (1-\delta)\left\|VP_0^\perp\varphi\right\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate each of the terms above separately. Observe that for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ we have, by the geometric arithmetic mean inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)P_0^\perp\varphi\|^2 - \delta\left\|VP_0^\perp\varphi\right\|^2 \\
&\qquad \geq (1-\varepsilon)\|D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^\perp\varphi\|^2 + (1 - \varepsilon^{-1} - \delta)\|VP_0^\perp\varphi\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

An application of Lemma 3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle VP_0\varphi, D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^\perp\varphi\rangle| &= |\langle VP_0V^{-1}V\varphi, D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^\perp\varphi\rangle| \\
&= |\langle P_0V\varphi, D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^\perp\varphi\rangle + \langle V\left[P_0, V^{-1}\right]V\varphi, D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^\perp\varphi\rangle| \\
&= |\langle V\left[P_0, V^{-1}\right]V\varphi, D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^\perp\varphi\rangle| \\
&= \mathcal{O}(\delta)\|V\varphi\|\,\|\varphi\| \\
&= \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)\|V\varphi\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where in the last equality we use (37). Further, by Lemma 3 (a), we obtain

$$\left\|VP_0^\perp\varphi\right\| \leq \|V\varphi\| + \left\|V\left[P_0^\perp, V^{-1}\right]V\varphi\right\| = (1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2))\|V\varphi\|.$$

Thus, as $\delta \to 0$,

$$\|V\varphi\|^2 - (1-\delta)\left\|VP_0^\perp\varphi\right\|^2 \geq (\delta + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2))\|V\varphi\|^2. \tag{47}$$

Therefore, in view of (45),(46), and (47) it suffices to show that

$$\langle D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^{\perp}\varphi, D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^{\perp}\varphi\rangle + \tfrac{(1-\varepsilon^{-1}-\delta)}{(1-\epsilon)}\langle VP_0^{\perp}\varphi, VP_0^{\perp}\varphi\rangle \geq 0, \tag{48}$$

for $\delta > 0$ small enough and some $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. We set

$$c_{\epsilon,\delta} := -\tfrac{(1-\varepsilon^{-1}-\delta)}{(1-\epsilon)} > 0.$$

In view of Lemma 1 we have that

$$P_0^{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{49}$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\ker(d)^{\perp}$. Using this, (24), and writing $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)^{\mathrm{T}}$ we get

$$\left\|D_{\mathbf{A}}P_0^{\perp}\varphi\right\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\left\|VP_0^{\perp}\varphi\right\|^2 = \|d\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\|V\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 + \|d^*\varphi_2\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\|V\varphi_2\|^2.$$

According to condition (39) and (25) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^*\varphi_2\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\|V\varphi_2\|^2 &= \langle\varphi_2, dd^*\varphi_2\rangle - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\langle\varphi_2, V^2\varphi_2\rangle \\ &\geq [1 - c_{\varepsilon,\delta}(1-\eta)]\langle\varphi_2, 2B\varphi_2\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

In order to give a lower bound to $\|d\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\|V\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2$ we will use that

$$dd^* = sd^*ds^* \quad \text{on} \quad \operatorname{Ran}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}) \cap \mathcal{D}(dd^*),$$

where s, s^* are the isometries given in (26). A simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\|V\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 &= \|ds^*s\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\|Vs^*s\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 \\ &= \|d^*s\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\|Vs^*V^{-1}Vs\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 \\ &= \|d^*s\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\left\|\left(s^* + V\left[s^*, V^{-1}\right]\right)Vs\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\right\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

We note that $V\left[s^*, V^{-1}\right]$ is one of the components of the operator

$$V\left[\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})P_0^{\perp}, V^{-1}\right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V\left[s^*, V^{-1}\right] \\ V\left[s\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, V^{-1}\right] & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the definition of the operator norm, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|V\left[s^*, V^{-1}\right]\right\| &= \sup_{\varphi=(0,\varphi_2)^{\mathrm{T}}, \|\varphi_2\|=1} \left\|V\left[\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})P_0^{\perp}, V^{-1}\right]\varphi\right\| \\ &\leq \left\|V\left[\operatorname{sgn}(D_{\mathbf{A}})P_0^{\perp}, V^{-1}\right]\right\| \leq 4\delta^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last bound we use Lemma 4. Combining this with (51) and proceeding as in (50) we obtain

$$\|d\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}\|V\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\|^2 \geq \left[1 - c_{\epsilon,\delta}(1-\eta)(1+\mathcal{O}(\delta^2))\right]\langle s\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1, 2Bs\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}\varphi_1\rangle. \tag{52}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = 1 - \delta^{1/2}$ we get that $c_{\epsilon,\delta} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^{1/2})$ as $\delta \to 0$. This implies that, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, the terms in (50) and (52) are positive. This concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

6. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we prove Theorem 2 for $B > 0$. The case $B < 0$ can be done similarly. As we mention in Section 3 there is a function $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\Delta\phi = B$. We choose $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = (-\partial_2\phi, \partial_1\phi)$ as the vector potential in the Hamiltonian $D_{\mathbf{A}}$. A key element in our proof is that the space

$$X := \{\omega e^{-\phi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}; B dx) \,|\, \omega \text{ is entire in } x_1 + \mathrm{i}\, x_2\}\,, \tag{53}$$

is infinite dimensional. Since $B > B_0$ we see that X is a subspace of $\ker(d)$ (see (29)). Let us first state a technical result concerning this space whose proof is given at the end of this section.

Lemma 5. *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled. Let* $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ *be such that* $B = \Delta\phi$*. Then we have, for* $\Omega \in X$*,*

a) $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}(d^*) \cap \mathcal{D}(V)$ *and* $\|d^*\Omega\| = \|\sqrt{2B}\Omega\|$

b) $(d^*\Omega, -V\Omega)^T \in \mathcal{D}(H)$

Proof of Theorem 2. We first show that the dimension of X (defined in (53)) is infinite. To this end define $\widetilde{\phi} = \phi - \frac{1}{2}\ln(B)$ and note that

$$\Delta\widetilde{\phi} = B + \frac{(\nabla B)^2}{2B^2} - \frac{\Delta B}{2B} > B - \frac{\Delta B}{2B}.$$

Thus, by the discussion in Section 3 (see (30)) the space

$$Y := \{\omega e^{-\widetilde{\phi}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \,|\, \omega \text{ is entire in } x_1 + \mathrm{i}\, x_2\}\,,$$

is infinite dimensional. The claim now follows since clearly X and Y are isomorphic. Let us define the subspace

$$W := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} d^*\Omega \\ -V\Omega \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2) \,|\, \Omega \in X \right\} \subset \mathcal{D}(H).$$

By Lemma 5 we have, for any $\Omega \in X$,

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} d^*\Omega \\ -V\Omega \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \|d^*\Omega\| + \|V\Omega\| \geq \sqrt{2B_0}\|\Omega\|.$$

Therefore, the map $X \ni \Omega \mapsto (d^*\Omega, -V\Omega)^{\mathrm{T}} \in W$ is bijective and we conclude that $\dim W = \infty$.

Now pick $\psi = (d^*\Omega, -V\Omega)^T \in W$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|H\psi\| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} V & d^* \\ d & V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d^*\Omega \\ -V\Omega \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} (\mathrm{i}\,\partial_1 V + \partial_2 V)\Omega \\ (2B - V^2)\Omega \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq (\|\nabla V/V\|_\infty + \|(2B - V^2)/V\|_\infty)\|\psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim W = \infty$ the inequality above implies that $\sigma_{ess}(H) \neq \emptyset$. □

Proof of Lemma 5. Note first that from Remark 2 follows that $X \subset \mathcal{D}(V)$. Let $\Omega \in X$ and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, [0, 1])$ be such that

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{for } |x| \geq 2\,. \end{cases}$$

We define $\Omega_n := \chi(\frac{\cdot}{n})\Omega, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\Omega_n \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ holds and moreover $\Omega_n \to \Omega$ as $n \to \infty$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}; B\mathrm{d}x)$. Since $\Omega \in \ker(d)$ we have that

$$\|d^*\Omega_n\|^2 \;=\; \|d\Omega_n\|^2 + \;2\|\sqrt{B}\Omega_n\|^2 \;=\; \frac{1}{n^2}\left\|(i\partial_1\chi - \partial_2\chi)\left(\frac{\cdot}{n}\right)\Omega\right\|^2 + \;2\|\sqrt{B}\Omega_n\|^2$$

holds, for any $n \geq 1$. Thus, $\big(d^*\Omega_n\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$. By the closedness of d^* we conclude that $\Omega \in \mathcal{D}(d^*)$ with $\|d^*\Omega\| = \|\sqrt{2B}\Omega\|$.

For $\Omega \in X$ define $\psi := (d^*\Omega, -V\Omega)^T$. A direct computation, using integration by parts, shows that one finds $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ such that for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ holds

$$\langle H\varphi, \psi\rangle = \langle \varphi, \phi\rangle.$$

This implies the claim by the definition of the adjoint operator. □

7. Proof of Theorems 3 and 4

In order to proof Theorems 3 and 4 we construct a sequence $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}(H)$ of linear independent functions such that $\|H\psi_n\|/\|\psi_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Throughout this section we assume that $B(\mathbf{x}_n) > B_0$ is positive.

Let us first introduce some notation. For a sequence $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we set $V_n := V(\mathbf{x}_n)$ and $B_n := B(x_n)$ and define the magnetic vector potentials

$$\mathbf{A}_n(\mathbf{x}) := \int_0^1 B_n \wedge (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n)s\mathrm{d}s = \tfrac{1}{2}B_n \wedge (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n),$$
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n(\mathbf{x}) := \int_0^1 B(\mathbf{x}_n + s(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n)) \wedge (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n)s\mathrm{d}s,$$

where $a \wedge \mathbf{v} := a(-v_2, v_1)$, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Clearly,

$$\operatorname{curl}\mathbf{A}_n = B_n \quad\text{and}\quad \operatorname{curl}\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n = B \quad\text{on } \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We define the operators d_n and d_n^* through the relation

$$D_{\mathbf{A}_n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_n^* \\ d_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of natural numbers. An important ingredient in our proof is that $2p_nB_n$ is the square of the p_n-th Landau level of the Dirac operator $D_{\mathbf{A}_n}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the functions

$$\varphi_n(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} (\mathrm{i}\,B_n(x_1 - \mathrm{i}\,x_2))^{p_n}e^{-B_n|\mathbf{x}|^2/4} \\ -V_n(\mathrm{i}\,B_n(x_1 - \mathrm{i}\,x_2))^{p_n-1}e^{-B_n|\mathbf{x}|^2/4} \end{pmatrix} \tag{54}$$

and observe that

$$\hat{\varphi}_n(\mathbf{x}) := \varphi_n(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} (d_n^*)^{p_n}e^{-B_n|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_n|^2/4} \\ -V_n(d_n^*)^{p_n-1}e^{-B_n|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_n|^2/4} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

We have, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g., [20, Section 7.1.3]),

$$d_nd_n^*[(d_n^*)^ke^{-B_n|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_n|^2/4}] = 2kB_n[(d_n^*)^ke^{-B_n|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_n|^2/4}]. \tag{56}$$

Next we define the localization functions. Let $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, [0,1])$ be such that $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ for $|\mathbf{x}| \leq 1$ and $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for $|\mathbf{x}| \geq 2$. We set

$$\zeta_n(\mathbf{x}) := \zeta\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n}{r_n}\right),$$

where $r_n > 0$ will be chosen in the proofs later on.

Finally, we observe that since $\operatorname{curl}(\mathbf{A} - \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n) = 0$ there exists a function $g_n \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\nabla g_n = \mathbf{A} - \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

We define the Weyl functions to be given, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$\psi_n(\mathbf{x}) := e^{\mathrm{i}\, g_n(\mathbf{x})} \zeta_n(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\varphi}_n(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{57}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\mathrm{i}\, g_n}(D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)\psi_n &= (D_{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n} + V)\zeta_n\hat{\varphi}_n \\ &= \zeta_n(D_{\mathbf{A}_n} + V_n)\hat{\varphi}_n - \mathrm{i}\,(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\nabla\zeta_n)\hat{\varphi}_n \\ &\quad + \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{A}_n - \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n)\zeta_n\hat{\varphi}_n + (V - V_n)\zeta_n\hat{\varphi}_n. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

In order to prove Theorems 3 and 4 we will choose $r_n > 0$ such that ψ_n are linear independent and each of the four terms above tend to zero uniformly in $\|\psi_n\|$ as $n \to \infty$. In the next lemma we estimate the norms $\|\psi_n\|$.

Lemma 6. *Assume that* $V_n^2/(2p_nB_n) \to 1$ *as* $n \to \infty$. *Then, for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *large enough, we have*

$$\|\varphi_n\|^2 \geq 2^{p_n+1}\pi B_n^{p_n-1} p_n! \tag{59}$$

$$\|\psi_n\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\|\varphi_n\|^2\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n!}\int_{B_n r_n^2/2}^\infty s^{p_n} e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s\right). \tag{60}$$

Proof. For $\psi_n = (\psi_{n,1}, \psi_{n,2})^{\mathrm{T}}$ we compute for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $V_n^2/(2p_nB_n) \leq 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_n\|^2 \leq \|\varphi_n\|^2 &= \|\varphi_{n,1}\|^2 + \|\varphi_{n,2}\|^2 \\ &= 2B_n^{2p_n}\pi\int_0^\infty s^{2p_n}e^{-B_ns^2/2}s\mathrm{d}s + 2V_n^2B_n^{2(p_n-1)}\pi\int_0^\infty s^{2(p_n-1)}e^{-B_ns^2/2}s\mathrm{d}s \\ &= 2^{p_n+1}\pi B_n^{p_n-1}\left(\int_0^\infty s^{p_n}e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s + \tfrac{V_n^2}{2B_n}\int_0^\infty s^{p_n-1}e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s\right) \\ &= 2^{p_n+1}\pi B_n^{p_n-1}\left(1 + \tfrac{V_n^2}{2B_np_n}\right)p_n!. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$2^{p_n+1}\pi B_n^{p_n-1}p_n! \leq 2^{p_n+1}\pi B_n^{p_n-1}\left(1 + \tfrac{V_n^2}{2B_np_n}\right)p_n! \leq 2^{p_n+3}\pi B_n^{p_n-1}p_n!,$$

we get an upper and lower bound for $\|\varphi_n\|^2$. In particular, we obtain (59). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_n\|^2 \geq \|\psi_{n,1}\|^2 \geq 2B_n^{2p_n}\pi\int_0^{r_n}(s^2)^{p_n}e^{-B_ns^2/2}s\mathrm{d}s \\ = 2^{p_n+1}B_n^{p_n-1}\pi\left(p_n! - \int_{B_nr_n^2/2}^\infty s^{p_n}e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s\right) \\ \geq \frac{1}{4}\|\varphi_n\|^2\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n!}\int_{B_nr_n^2/2}^\infty s^{p_n}e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s\right). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3. In this proof we use Lemma 6 for $p_n = k$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), where k is some fixed natural number. We choose the localization radii to be given by

$$r_n = B_n^{(\varepsilon-1)/2}. \tag{61}$$

Since $r_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ we can assume that the ψ_n are linear independent, for otherwise we can extract a subsequence satisfying this property. In view of Remark 4 we see that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{p_n!}\int_{B_n r_n^2/2}^{\infty} s^{p_n} e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{k!}\int_{B_n^{\varepsilon}/2}^{\infty} s^k e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s \to 0. \tag{62}$$

Then, according to Lemma 6 there exists an $N > 0$ such that, for all $n > N$,

$$\|\varphi_n\| \le 8\|\psi_n\|. \tag{63}$$

Next we estimate the corresponding terms of (58). A simple calculation shows that

$$\|(D_{\mathbf{A}_n} + V_n)\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 \le |(V_n^2 - 2kB_n)/V_n|\|\varphi_{n,2}\|^2 \le 8|(V_n^2 - 2kB_n)/V_n|\|\psi_n\|^2.$$

Thus, by (15), $\|(D_{\mathbf{A}_n} + V_n)\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2/\|\psi_n\|^2$ converges to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, using (59), we get for n sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\nabla\zeta_n)\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 &\le r_n^{-2}\|\nabla\zeta\|_\infty \int_{r_n\le|\mathbf{x}|\le 2r_n} |\varphi_n(\mathbf{x})|^2\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &\le B_n^{1-\varepsilon}\|\nabla\zeta\|_\infty 2^{(k+3)}\pi B_n^{k-1}\int_{B_n^{\epsilon}/2}^{\infty} s^k e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s \\ &\le 2^5\|\psi_n\|^2\|\nabla\zeta\|_\infty B_n^{1-\varepsilon}\frac{1}{k!}\int_{B_n^{\epsilon}/2}^{\infty} s^k e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\nabla\zeta_n)\hat{\varphi}_n\|/\|\psi_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For the last two terms of (58) we recall that $|\nabla B|$ and $|\nabla V|$ grow with rate 0 at infinity. Therefore, applying the mean value theorem we find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{A}_n - \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n)\zeta_n\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 \le C^2|\nabla B(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 r_n^4\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 \le 8C^2\left|\frac{\nabla B(\mathbf{x}_n)}{B_n^{1-\varepsilon}}\right|^2\|\psi_n\|^2$$

and

$$\|(V - V_n)\zeta_n\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 \le C^2|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 r_n^2\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 \le 8C^2\left|\frac{\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)}{|V_n|^{1-\varepsilon}}\right|^2\left(\frac{V_n^2}{B_n}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}\|\psi_n\|^2.$$

Therefore, we obtain the desired result in view of (14) and since V_n^2/B_n is uniformly bounded for large n. □

Proof of Theorem 4. In this case we apply Lemma 6 for $p_n = n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). We set

$$r_n := \sqrt{2n^{(1+\varepsilon)}/B_n}. \tag{64}$$

Using that $s^n e^{-s/2} \le (2n)^n e^{-n}$ we get

$$\frac{1}{n!}\int_{n^{(1+\varepsilon)}}^{\infty} s^n e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s \le 2\frac{(2n)^n e^{-n^{(1+\varepsilon)}/2-n}}{n!} \le \exp\bigl(n\ln(2n) - n^{(1+\varepsilon)}/2 - n\bigr).$$

The last term goes to zero as $n \to \infty$. Hence, we find $N > 0$ so large that

$$\exp\bigl(n\ln(2n) - n^{(1+\varepsilon)}/2 - n\bigr) < 1/2, \quad \text{for all} \quad n > N. \tag{65}$$

As a consequence we get in view of Lemma 6, for all $n > N$,

$$\|\varphi_n\|^2 \le 8\|\psi_n\|^2. \tag{66}$$

We now estimate the radii. In view of Remark 5 we may assume that, for all $n > N$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{V_n^2}{2nB_n} \le 2. \tag{67}$$

This together with (19) implies that

$$r_n^{-2} \le 2^{\kappa-1}\alpha n^{\kappa-1-\varepsilon} \le n^{\kappa},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. Therefore, using (59), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\nabla\zeta_n)\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 &\le n^{\kappa}\|\nabla\zeta\|_{\infty}2^{(n+3)}\pi B_n^{n-1}\int_{n^{1+\varepsilon}}^{\infty} s^n e^{-s}\mathrm{d}s\\ &\le 4\|\nabla\zeta\|_{\infty}\|\varphi_n\|^2 n^{\kappa}\exp\bigl(n\ln(2n) - n^{(1+\varepsilon)}/2 - n\bigr)\\ &\le 2^5\|\nabla\zeta\|_{\infty}\|\psi_n\|^2 n^{\kappa}\exp\bigl(n\ln(2n) - n^{(1+\varepsilon)}/2 - n\bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\nabla\zeta_n)\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2/\|\psi_n\|^2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

For the last two terms in (58) we first assume (18) and use (64) and (67) to get

$$\begin{aligned} r_n \le c^{-1}|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)|r_n &\le c^{-1}|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)|\sqrt{\frac{2}{B_n}}\left(2\frac{V_n^2}{2B_n}\right)^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \tag{68}\\ &\le 2^{3+\varepsilon}c^{-1}\frac{|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)|}{V_n}\left(\frac{V_n^2}{2B_n}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}. \tag{69}\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have that $r_n \to 0$, $n \to \infty$, by (17). If we assume, on the other hand, (20) instead of (18) we similarly obtain that

$$\frac{r_n}{|\mathbf{x}_n|} \le 2^{3+\varepsilon}c^{-1}\frac{|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)|}{V_n}\left(\frac{V_n^2}{2B_n}\right)^{1+\varepsilon},$$

which implies that $r_n/|\mathbf{x}_n| \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. In both cases we have (at least for a subsequence) that the support of ψ_n are mutually disjoint. Therefore, since $|\nabla V|$ and $|\nabla B|$ vary with rate 0 in the former case and with rate 1 in the latter we have using again the mean value theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot(\mathbf{A}_n - \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n)\zeta_n\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 &\le C^2|\nabla B(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 r_n^4\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2\\ &\le 2^{7+2\varepsilon}C^2\left[\frac{|\nabla B(\mathbf{x}_n)|}{B_n}\left(\frac{V_n^2}{2B_n}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}\right]^2\|\psi_n\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we use again (64) combined with (67) and (66). Analogously, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|(V - V_n)\zeta_n\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2 &\le C^2|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)|^2 r_n^2\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|^2\\ &\le 2^{6+2\varepsilon}C^2\left[\frac{|\nabla V(\mathbf{x}_n)|}{V_n}\left(\frac{V_n^2}{2B_n}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}\right]^2\|\psi_n\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of (17) we get that $\|(D_{\mathbf{A}} + V)\psi_n\|/\|\psi_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ which proves the theorem. $\square$

Appendix A. Results for rotationally symmetric potentials

In this appendix we study some properties of the Dirac operator $H = D_{\mathbf{A}} + V$ when V and B are rotationally symmetric. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be given by the rotational gauge

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{A(r)}{r}\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(r) = \frac{1}{r}\int_0^r B(s)s\mathrm{d}s, \tag{70}$$

where $r = |\mathbf{x}|$. We can decompose H into a direct sum of operators on the j-th angular momentum eigenspace, i.e., there is a unitary map

$$U : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2) \to \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{C}^2; \mathrm{d}r)$$

such that $UHU^* = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} h_j$ with

$$h_j := -\mathrm{i}\,\sigma_2\partial_r + \sigma_1\left(A(r) - \frac{m_j}{r}\right) + v(r) \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{C}^2; \mathrm{d}r),$$

where $v(|\mathbf{x}|) := V(\mathbf{x})$ and $m_j = j + 1/2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see e.g. [20]). Since H is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ we deduce that h_j is also essentially self-adjoint on $\left(UC_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)\right)_j \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{C}^2; \mathrm{d}r)$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 1. *Assume that $A \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ and $v \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ are such that the conditions*

$$|A(r)| \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty, \tag{71}$$

$$\frac{A'(r)}{A^2(r)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty, \tag{72}$$

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\left|\frac{v(r)}{A(r)}\right| < 1, \tag{73}$$

are fulfilled. Then, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the spectrum of h_j is purely discrete. In particular, the spectrum of $D_{\mathbf{A}} + V$ is pure point.

Proof. Since $\limsup_{r\to\infty} v^2(r)/A^2(r) < 1$ we find constants $\mu > 1$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that $A^2(r) > \mu v^2(r)$ for $r > r_0$. We pick $\lambda \in (\mu^{-1}, 1)$ and define, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the potential function w_j on $\mathbb{R}^+$ as

$$w_j(r) := \left(A^2(r) - 2\frac{m_j}{r}A(r) - \lambda^{-1}v^2(r)\right) + \begin{pmatrix} -A'(r) & 0 \\ 0 & A'(r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the matrix valued potential w_j is real-valued and diagonal. Moreover, the diagonal entries of w_j are bounded from below and converge to $+\infty$ as $r \to \infty$ due to (71) and (72). As a consequence we get, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, a self-adjoint operator

$$s_j := \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_r^2 + \frac{j^2-1/4}{r^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial_r^2 + \frac{(j+1)^2-1/4}{r^2} \end{pmatrix} + w_j(r) \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{C}^2; \mathrm{d}r)$$

with form core $\left(UC_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)\right)_j$. Moreover, s_j is bounded from below and has purely discrete spectrum. In order to show that h_j has also purely discrete spectrum we observe that, for any $\psi \in \big(UC_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{C}^2)\big)_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|h_j\psi\|^2 &\geq (1-\lambda)\left\|\Big(-\mathrm{i}\,\sigma_2\partial_r + \sigma_1\Big(A(r)-\frac{m_j}{r}\Big)\Big)\psi\right\|^2 + (1-\lambda^{-1})\|v\psi\|^2\\ &= (1-\lambda)\left[\left\|\Big(-\mathrm{i}\,\sigma_2\partial_r + \sigma_1\Big(A(r)-\frac{m_j}{r}\Big)\Big)\psi\right\|^2 - \lambda^{-1}\|v\psi\|^2\right]\\ &= (1-\lambda)\langle\psi, s_j\psi\rangle\,,\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to $h_j^2 \geq (1-\lambda)s_j$, for $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. An application of the min-max principle (see [14, Section XIII.1]) gives that h_j^2 has purely discrete spectrum. This implies the claim for h_j. □

Appendix B. Some technical tools

Lemma 7. *Assume $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R})$, $B\in C(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{A}\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $B = \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{A}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, such that the conditions*

$$V(\mathbf{x}), B(\mathbf{x}) \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad |\mathbf{x}|\to\infty \tag{74}$$

$$\left|\frac{\nabla V(\mathbf{x})}{V(\mathbf{x})}\right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |\mathbf{x}|\to\infty \tag{75}$$

$$\limsup_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty}\frac{V^2(\mathbf{x})}{2B(\mathbf{x})} < 1 \tag{76}$$

are fulfilled. Then, there is a constant $\eta\in(0,1)$ such that for any $\delta\in(0,1)$ we can find an electric potential $\hat V\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R})$ and a magnetic field $\hat B \in C(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R})$ satisfying conditions (37)-(39) such that

$$\sigma(D_{\mathbf{A}}+V) \text{ is discrete if and only if } \sigma(D_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}+\hat V) \text{ is discrete.}$$

Here $\hat{\mathbf{A}}\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a magnetic vector potential satisfying $\hat B = \mathit{curl}\,\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. We first construct the potential $\hat V$. Without lost of generality we assume that $V(\mathbf{x})\geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ (otherwise start with $V+c$ for some constant c). Due to assumption (76) we find $R_1\geq 1$ and $\eta\in(0,1)$ such that

$$V^2(\mathbf{x}) < 2(1-\eta)B(\mathbf{x}), \quad |\mathbf{x}|\geq R_1. \tag{77}$$

Similarly by (74) and (75) we find for any $\delta\in(0,1)$ a constant $R_2>R_1$ such that

$$V(\mathbf{x}) > 2\delta^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla V(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{\delta}{4}V(\mathbf{x}), \quad |\mathbf{x}|\geq R_2. \tag{78}$$

Pick $\xi\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2,\ [\frac12,1])$ such that $\xi(\mathbf{x}) = 1/2$ for $|\mathbf{x}|\leq 1$ and $\xi(\mathbf{x})=1$ for $|\mathbf{x}|\geq 2$. For $r>R_2$ we set $\xi_r(\mathbf{x}) := \xi\big(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{r}\big)$, $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2$. Define for $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\hat V_r(\mathbf{x}) := \xi_r(\mathbf{x})V(\mathbf{x}) + (1-\xi_r(\mathbf{x}))4\delta^{-1}(1+M),$$

where $M := \sup\{|\nabla V(\mathbf{x})| \mid |\mathbf{x}|\leq R_2\}$. Clearly

$$\hat V_r(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x}), \quad |\mathbf{x}|>2r. \tag{79}$$

Furthermore, we find that

$$\hat V_r(\mathbf{x})\geq\delta^{-1}, \quad 2\hat V_r(\mathbf{x})\geq V(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2. \tag{80}$$

A simple computation yields, for any $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^2$,

$$|\nabla\hat V_r(\mathbf{x})| \leq |\nabla V(\mathbf{x})| + \frac{1}{r}\left(2\|\nabla\xi\|_\infty + 4\|\nabla\xi\|_\infty\delta^{-1}(1+M)\right)\hat V_r(\mathbf{x}).$$

Note that $|\nabla V(\mathbf{x})| \leq \max\{M, \delta V(\mathbf{x})/4\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, using (78) and the definition of $\hat{V}_r$ we get that $|\nabla V(\mathbf{x})| \leq \delta \hat{V}_r(\mathbf{x})/2$, for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus, we find a constant $r_0 > R_2$ so large that

$$|\nabla \hat{V}_{r_0}(\mathbf{x})| \leq \delta \hat{V}_{r_0}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{81}$$

Define $\hat{V} := \hat{V}_{r_0}$ and note that $\hat{V}$ fulfills the desired properties in view of (80) and (81).

Next we define the magnetic field as

$$\hat{B}(\mathbf{x}) := 2\left(\xi_{2r_0}(\mathbf{x}) - \tfrac{1}{2}\right) B(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \xi_{2r_0}(\mathbf{x})) \frac{\hat{V}^2(\mathbf{x})}{(1-\eta)}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

In view of (79) and (77) we have

$$\hat{B}(\mathbf{x}) \geq \frac{\hat{V}^2(\mathbf{x})}{2(1-\eta)}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Hence, $\hat{V}$ and $\hat{B}$ satisfy conditions (37)-(39).

Since the function $B - \hat{B}$ has compact support in $\mathbb{R}^2$, we find a function $\mathbf{G} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $||\mathbf{G}||_\infty < \infty$ and $B - \hat{B} = \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{G}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. We define $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Due to the construction of $\hat{V}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ we know that $(D_{\mathbf{A}} - V) - (D_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}} + \hat{V})$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Hence, the resolvent difference of $D_{\mathbf{A}} - V$ and $D_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}} + \hat{V}$ is compact if one of the resolvents is itself compact. From this follows the claim. □

REFERENCES

[1] A.H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N.M.R. Peres, K.S. Novoselov, and A.K. Geim. The electronic properties of graphene. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 81:109–162, 2009.

[2] P. R. Chernoff. Schrödinger and Dirac operators with singular potentials and hyperbolic equations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 72(2):361–382, 1977.

[3] A. De Martino, L. Dell'Anna, and R. Egger. Magnetic confinement of massless Dirac fermions in graphene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98(6):66802, 2007.

[4] A. Erdélyi. Note on a paper by Titchmarsh. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 14:147–152, 1963.

[5] L. Erdős and V. Vougalter. Pauli operator and Aharonov-Casher theorem for measure valued magnetic fields. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 225(2):399–421, 2002.

[6] C.L. Fefferman and M.I. Weinstein. Honeycomb lattice potentials and Dirac points. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(4):1169–1220, 2012.

[7] G. Giavaras, P.A. Maksym, and M. Roy. Magnetic field induced confinement–deconfinement transition in graphene quantum dots. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 21:102201, 2009.

[8] B. Helffer, J. Nourrigat, and X.P. Wang. Sur le spectre de l'équation de Dirac (dans $\mathbb{R}^3$ ou $\mathbb{R}^2$) avec champ magnétique. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 22(4):515–533, 1989.

[9] H. Kalf, T. Ōkaji, and O. Yamada. Absence of eigenvalues of Dirac operators with potentials diverging at infinity. *Math. Nachr.*, 259:19–41, 2003.

[10] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1980 edition.

[11] M. Könenberg and E. Stockmeyer. Localization of two-dimensional massless dirac fermions in a magnetic quantum dot. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 2:115–146, 2012.

[12] K. Miller and B. Simon. Quantum magnetic hamiltonians with remarkable spectral properties. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 44(25):1706–1707, 1980.

[13] K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, S.V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S.V. Dubonos, I.V. Grigorieva, and A.A. Firsov. Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science*, 306(5696):666–669, 2004.

[14] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, volume 4: Analysis of Operators. Academic Press, New York, 1 edition, 1978.

[15] M. E. Rose and R. R. Newton. Properties of Dirac wave functions in a central field. *Phys. Rev. (2)*, 82:470–477, 1951.
[16] G. Rozenblum and N. Shirokov. Infiniteness of zero modes for the Pauli operator with singular magnetic field. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 233(1):135–172, 2006.
[17] K. M. Schmidt. Absolutely continuous spectrum of Dirac systems with potentials infinite at infinity. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 122(2):377–384, 1997.
[18] K. M. Schmidt and O. Yamada. Spherically symmetric Dirac operators with variable mass and potentials infinite at infinity. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 34(3):211–227, 1998.
[19] N. Suzuki. Discrete spectrum of electromagnetic Dirac operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128(3):819–825, 2000.
[20] B. Thaller. *The Dirac equation*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[21] E. C. Titchmarsh. On the nature of the spectrum in problems of relativistic quantum mechanics. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 12:227–240, 1961.
[22] V. Vogelsang. Absence of embedded eigenvalues of the Dirac equation for long range potentials. *Analysis*, 7(3-4):259–274, 1987.
[23] P.R. Wallace. The band theory of graphite. *Phys. Rev.*, 71(9):622, 1947.

Josef Mehringer, Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Theresienstrasse 39, D-80333 München, Germany.
E-mail address: `josef.mehringer@math.lmu.de`

Edgardo Stockmeyer, Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Theresienstrasse 39, D-80333 München, Germany.
E-mail address: `stock@math.lmu.de`